Declassified	in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release	2012/11/01 : CIA-RDP79B00873A0001000100	26-5 ⁻ 5X1
	·	June 13, 1969	
•			
			25X1 25X1
	Attention: John C.		
	Dear John:		
	Enclosed is a memo from you had asked me. Since the IRP proposed decided not to send them to you since it trol them. However, I will be glad to so In going through the programs, the only 1967 IQ Program. The others you benefour capabilities increased an keep you example, you received one of our first the research part of which was performed our IRP spatial filtering work was indire	would be a nuisance for you to con- how them to you on your next visit. one of direct benefit to you was a fitted from indirectly, in that as ou abreast of our technology: For FOC camera's and playback systems, ed on IRP. In addition, some of	25X1
	work. I am also enclosing the quote by memo mentions.		25 X 1 ' 25 X 1
		Sincerely,	
			25 X 1
	GOR/md Enclosures:		

MEMORANDUM

	OM:			11 June 1969	•
SUI	BJECT:	IRP Documentation for John C.			
. :	nama bibi mili manga kanal				•
1)	by the Go through t actual or	are brochures describing Independent for fiscal years 1967, them and determine to what extend potential benefit to the Image And if you deem it advisable.	1968 and 1969. You any of these programs	ou can peruse rams were of	
2)	Research (ACO) ar your info in 80% of thus allo mercial) contracts of Gover 50% of or	kisting ASPR regulations, the Government and commercial divisions of and the 80% recovery is only messuch as Image Analysis. Overanment and commercial business, ar IRP investment as an allowable	inistrative Contractions of the Government of the Government 2% of sales. If whether Government on cost-rell, because of our we "recover" approximations of the contraction of the contr	cting Officer ernment. For nent will share IP costs are nent or com- eimbursement present mix coximately	25X1
•	pools of				25 X 1
3)	program Governm by the co		eventual benefit to Ivantages may also	the U.S. be obtained testimony by	25X1
	out in 19 hypotheti	must recognize that if the Indeper 67, 1968 and 1969 were of limited ically true that this contract was as carried out in earlier years uny yourself and others.	l value to his contr enhanced by Indepe	act, it is ndent Research	25X1 25X1
4)		er your specific question on Imag fiscal years '67, '68 and '69 (the			25X

		•	-2-		11 June 1 969	25X
	Based on the and during these fise	•			our overhead e Image Analysis	•
•	program was:					25 X 1
	for FY '69.					
	I hope the above answelarification.	wers your ques	tions, but ple	ase ask if you	need further	
		•				•
				•		

THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT RESEARCH PROGRAM

, Director	r of Defense Research and Engineering,	25 X 1
recently testified at a congressional hear	ing on Independent Research. His views	
are strongly shared by the management of	stated:	25 X 1

''Government contracts cannot fully provide the continuity or range of technical effort needed to permit a company to maintain stable, or on-going technical and scientific competence. Such competence obviously cannot spring into being each time the Government awards a contract to a company. The growth of competition on Government contracts make it increasingly difficult for any one company to win sufficient contracts to maintain the broad base of technology essential to be completely responsive to Government needs.

'In short, Government-based R and D today is effectively complemented by a broad and productive base of independent R and D. The Government should continue to pay its allocable share of the costs of maintaining this base. Government-based R and D and IR and D combined, afford the optimum means for maintaining a competent and responsive industrial base and assuring the continued flow of new technology.'